

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 09/891,905 | 06/26/2001 | Gwan-Byeob Koh | 5649-873 | 1424 | |
| 20792 7 | 7590 01/03/2003 | | | | |
| MYERS BIG | EL SIBLEY & SAJOV | EXAMINER | | | |
| | PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627 | | | GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2811 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | ppticant(s) | / | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/891,905 | KOH ET AL. | \mathcal{U} | | | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Examin r | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Samuel A Gebremariam | 2811 | | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover she t with the | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | of the final rejection. SE FINAL REJECTION. | See MPEP | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datheve been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of th d statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate ex ı the final Office action; or | tension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal | period set forth in of the appeal. | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | etion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | I be allowable if submitted in a | separate, timely file | d amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: see | or reconsideration has been con se attachment. | sidered but does No | OT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | Y to issues which we | ere newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or loold be rejected is provided be | b)⊡ will be entered low or appended. | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | ; | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | s a)□ approved or b)□ disap | proved by the Exar | niner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | 1 | Jon Jran | ~> | | | |
| | TOM TI | IOMAS | | | | |
| | SUPERVISORY PA | ICNI EXAMINEH | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/891,905

Art Unit: 2811

Page 2

Response to Argum nts

Applicant's request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application on condition for allowance because claims 1 and 21 do not specify forming a channel layer by performing implantation before gate electrodes are formed. What the claims explicitly state is "forming a channel region in the exposed portion of the substrate". Channel region is a region between source/drain regions. And this region is explicitly taught by Nara as being formed before the electrodes are formed.